



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/552,238	01/03/2007	Pamela Brown	PB60052	6285
20462	7590	05/20/2008	EXAMINER	
SMITHKLINE BEECHAM CORPORATION			MABRY, JOHN	
CORPORATE INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY-US, UW2220				
P. O. BOX 1539			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
KING OF PRUSSIA, PA 19406-0939			1625	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/20/2008	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

US_cipkop@gsk.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/552,238	BROWN ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
John Mabry, PhD	1625	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) John Mabry, PhD. (3) _____.

(2) James C. Kellerman, Esq. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 14 May 2008

Time: 3:35 p.m.

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:
POTENTIAL ABANDONMENT FOR FAILURE TO RESPOND - Examiner and Attny Andrew Kellerman discussed via telephone if Applicant sent a Response to a Non-Final Rejection mailed on October 19, 2007. Attny Kellerman informed Examiner that Applicant did not send a Response because Applicant intends to abandon Application 10/552,238, filed on October 7, 2005.